

Thought Leaders in Health Law®

Proposed Pilot Program: Business Corporations to Operate New York Hospitals

by Jeffrey H. Becker and Vinay Bhupathy

January 2013

There is a potentially significant development in New York with respect to the ownership and operation of hospitals in the State. On January 25, 2013, Governor Andrew Cuomo proposed comprehensive budget legislation for 2013-2014 that included a pilot program (the "Pilot Program") that would allow business corporations to own and operate two hospitals located in the State, subject to establishment approval by the Public Health and Health Planning Council (the "PHHPC"). The Pilot Program is intended to "assist in restructuring health care delivery systems by allowing increased capital investment in health care facilities."

History

New York has strictly regulated the practice of medicine and the delivery of health care within its boundaries. One particular restriction, which has periodically been subject to debate over the years, is the requirement that all owners of a corporation that operates a for-profit hospital be natural persons.¹ The reasons for this policy include the view that the provision of health care is a charitable activity and that there must be transparency and accountability as far as the owners of hospitals are concerned. This prohibition has essentially prevented investor-owned corporations from directly owning and operating hospitals in New York.

In the past few years, there has been a call from some in both the public and private sectors to loosen or eliminate this restriction. One reason for this "call" has been the financial pressures that many hospitals are facing and the potential capital that the forprofit community can provide.

-

¹ New York Public Health Law §2801-a(4)(e).

HEALTH CARE & LIFE SCIENCES

Overview of the Pilot Program

The Pilot Program, which is found in Section 104 of the Governor's 2013-14 Executive Budget Article VII Bills, would amend New York Public Health Law §2801-a to authorize the Commissioner of Health ("Commissioner") to establish the Pilot Program. PHHPC would be responsible for approving a maximum of two business corporations formed under the New York Business Corporation Law to operate hospitals in New York State. One business corporation would operate a hospital or hospitals in Kings County and the other business corporation could operate one elsewhere in the State. In order to qualify to become an operator, the business corporation must be affiliated with a PHHPC-approved academic medical institution, to the extent satisfactory to the Commissioner.

Structurally, the Pilot Program would suspend the restrictions on owners and operators of the hospitals mentioned above. This modification of New York law would allow investor-owned entities to directly invest in a hospital without the requirement that all shareholders or members of the for-profit operator be natural persons.²

The Pilot Program would place restrictions on the two business corporations. First, they would need to limit their corporate purposes and powers to the ownership and operation of the specifically designated hospitals. Second, the boards of directors and officers of the corporations would be required to consider specified public health metrics when taking any actions including: (i) "the interests of patients of the hospital or hospitals"; (ii) "community and societal considerations, including those of any community in which facilities of the corporation are located"; and (iii) "the local and global environment."3 The Pilot Program specifically states that accounting for the public health metrics and other considerations listed in the legislation will not constitute a violation of the fiduciary duty or any other duty of loyalty that a director or officer owes to the corporation or its shareholders.4 The foregoing metrics are intended to address some of the reasons historically advanced by New York State for prohibiting investor-owned hospitals.

The Commissioner must submit a written evaluation of the Pilot Program to the Governor and certain legislators within two years of the establishment of the business corporations. The evaluation must specifically address "the overall effectiveness of the Program in allowing for access to capital investment in health care facilities and the impact such access may have on the quality of care provided by hospitals operated by business corporations established under this subdivision."5

Unanswered Questions

The Pilot Program leaves several unanswered questions, including the following:

² Proposed — New York Public Health Law §2801-a(18)(c), citing current New York Public Health Law §§2801-a (3)(b), (4)(c), (4)(e) & New York Public Health Law §4004(3)(a).

Proposed – New York Public Health Law §2801-a(18)(e)(1).

⁴ Proposed – New York Public Health Law §2801-a(18)(e)(2), citing current New York Business Corporation Law §§ 715 & 717.

⁵ *Proposed* – New York Public Health Law §2801-a(18)(g).

HEALTH CARE & LIFE SCIENCES

- (i) What does "affiliated" with an academic medical institution mean?
- (ii) Will there be interest in the Pilot Program notwithstanding the numerous restrictions placed on participants?
- (iii) How will the business corporations recoup their investment, and will they be permitted to make profit distributions to their owners?
- (iv) If the proposed Pilot Program is successful, will the restrictions be loosened in the future?
- (v) If the Pilot Program is not successful, what will happen to the business corporations and to the capital that they invested?
- (vi) What effect will the Pilot Program and its possible future expansion have on the existing not-for-profit hospitals in New York?

* * *

This Client Alert was authored by **Jeffrey H. Becker** and **Vinay Bhupathy.** For additional information, or if you have any questions, about the Pilot Program or its potential impact, please contact one of the authors or the Epstein Becker Green attorney who regularly handles your legal matters.

About Epstein Becker Green

Epstein Becker & Green, P.C., founded in 1973, is a national law firm with approximately 300 lawyers practicing in 11 offices, in Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, Houston, Indianapolis, Los Angeles, New York, Newark, San Francisco, Stamford, and Washington, D.C. The firm is uncompromising in its pursuit of legal excellence and client service in its areas of practice: Health Care and Life Sciences, Labor and Employment, Litigation, Corporate Services, and Employee Benefits. Epstein Becker Green was founded to serve the health care industry and has been at the forefront of health care legal developments since 1973. The firm is also proud to be a trusted advisor to clients in the financial services, retail, and hospitality industries, among others, representing entities from startups to Fortune 100 companies. Our commitment to these practices and industries reflects the founders' belief in focused proficiency paired with seasoned experience. For more information, visit www.ebglaw.com.

The Epstein Becker Green Client Alert is published by EBG's Health Care and Life Sciences practice to inform health care organizations of all types about significant new legal developments.

Lynn Shapiro Snyder, Esq. EDITOR

If you would like to be added to our mailing list or need to update your contact information, please contact Lisa C. Blackburn at lblackburn@ebglaw.com or 202-861-1887.

HEALTH CARE & LIFE SCIENCES

ATLANTA

Robert N. Berg Michael V. Coleman J. Andrew Lemons Kenneth G. Menendez Marisa N. Pins Evan Rosen Alan B. Wynne

BOSTON

Barry A. Guryan

CHICAGO

Amy K. Dow Lisa J. Matyas Griffin W. Mulcahey Kevin J. Ryan

HOUSTON

Mark S. Armstrong Daniel E. Gospin Pamela D. Tyner

LOS ANGELES

Adam C. Abrahms Dale E. Bonner Ted A. Gehring J. Susan Graham Kim Tyrrell-Knott

NEW YORK

Nicholas S. Allison Eric L. Altman Jeffrey H. Becker Vinay Bhupathy* Michelle Capezza Stephanie Carrington Aime Dempsey Sarah K. diFrancesca Kenneth W. DiGia Jerrold I. Ehrlich James S. Frank Arthur J. Fried Paul A. Friedman Jay E. Gerzog John F. Gleason Robert D. Goldstein Wendy C. Goldstein Robert S. Groban, Jr. Gretchen Harders Jennifer M. Horowitz Kenneth J. Kelly Joseph J. Kempf, Jr.

Jane L. Kuesel Stephanie G. Lerman Purvi Badiani Maniar Wendy G. Marcari Eileen D. Millett Leah A. Roffman Tamar R. Rosenberg William A. Ruskin Jackie Selby Catherine F. Silie Victoria M. Sloan Steven M. Swirsky Natasha F. Thoren

NEWARK Joan A. Disler James P. Flynn Daniel R. Levy Philip D. Mitchell Maxine Neuhauser Michael J. Slocum Sheila A. Woolson

STAMFORD

David S. Poppick

WASHINGTON, DC

Kirsten M. Backstrom Emily E. Bajcsi Clifford E. Barnes

James A. Boiani George B. Breen Lee Calligaro Jesse M. Caplan Jason E. Christ Fric J. Conn. Tanya V. Cramer Anjali N.C. Downs Gregory H. Epstein Steven B. Epstein Ross K. Friedberg Daniel C. Fundakowski Brandon C. Ge Stuart M. Gerson David C. Gibbons Shawn M. Gilman Jennifer K. Goodwin Daniel G. Gottlieb Philo D. Hall Douglas A. Hastings Robert J. Hudock William G. Kopit Amy F. Lerman Christopher M. Locke Katherine R. Lofft Julia E. Loyd

Mark E. Lutes

Kara M. Maciel

Benjamin S. Martin

Teresa A. Mason*

Teresa A. Mason* David E. Matyas Colin G. McCulloch Frank C. Morris, Jr. Leslie V. Norwalk Kathleen A. Peterson Daniela A. Pirvu René Y. Quashie Jonah D. Retzinger Serra J. Schlanger Deepa B. Selvam Alaap B. Shah Lynn Shapiro Snyder Adam C. Solander Ophir Stemmer David B. Tatge Daly D.E. Temchine Bradley Merrill Thompson Carrie Valiant Patricia M. Wagner Robert E. Wanerman Constance A. Wilkinson Kathleen M. Williams Lesley R. Yeung

*Not Admitted to the Practice

This document has been provided for informational purposes only and is not intended and should not be construed to constitute legal advice. Please consult your attorneys in connection with any fact-specific situation under federal law and the applicable state or local laws that may impose additional obligations on you and your company.

© 2013 Epstein Becker & Green, P.C.

Attorney Advertising

Epstein Becker & Green, P.C.