

Maine Repeals Pharmaceutical Disclosure Requirements Related to Marketing Costs, Drug Pricing, and Clinical Trials

by [Sarah K. Giesting](#), [Amy Dow](#), and [Daniel G. Gottlieb](#)

August 2011

On July 8, 2011, Governor Paul LePage of Maine signed into law LD 719, “An Act to Make Certain Prescription Drug Disclosure Laws Consistent with Federal Law” (“LD 719”).¹ LD 719 repeals three statutes that require prescription drug manufacturers and labelers to disclose information related to clinical trials, ME. REV. STAT. ANN. tit. 22, § 2700-A (“§ 2700-A”); marketing costs, ME. REV. STAT. ANN. tit. 22, § 2698-A (“§ 2698-A”); and drug pricing, ME. REV. STAT. ANN. tit. 22, § 2698-B (“§ 2698-B”). The repeal becomes effective on September 28, 2011. This Client Alert discusses LD 719.

Summary of LD 719

Clinical Trial Disclosures

LD 719 repeals, in significant part, § 2700-A by striking the clinical trial registration and results reporting requirements that are mandatory for all prescription drug manufacturers and labelers whose products are sold in Maine. Effective September 28, 2011, such drug manufacturers and labelers will no longer be required to register or disclose the results of clinical trials that are not subject to disclosure under federal law.

The Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007 (“FDAAA”)² requires clinical investigators and sponsors to register and report results for all “applicable” clinical trials on the National Institutes of Health (“NIH”) ClinicalTrials.gov website. Although FDAAA was intended to create uniform clinical trial reporting requirements, the

¹ HP 0530, 125th Leg., Reg. Sess., (Me. 2011).

² Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-85, Title VIII § 801 (2007).

express preemption provision in FDAAA has not been triggered.³ As a result, industry has been subject to the overlapping and partially inconsistent requirements of federal and Maine law.

There are several instances in which Maine's broader reporting obligations require disclosures that are not required by federal law,⁴ as well as reporting timelines and administrative provisions that are not wholly consistent with the requirements of FDAAA. Maine's repeal of § 2700-A will reduce regulatory compliance burdens imposed on prescription drug manufacturers, as they will no longer be subject to multiple and potentially conflicting reporting requirements.

Marketing Cost Disclosures

LD 719 also repeals Maine's marketing cost disclosure provision, § 2698-A, effective September 28, 2011. Section 2698-A requires all manufacturers and labelers of prescription drugs dispensed in Maine to report costs related to certain marketing activities conducted in the State including, but not limited to, expenses associated with advertising, marketing, and direct promotion; and food, entertainment, gifts, trips and travel provided to a Maine-licensed health care professional.

Although the repeal of § 2698-A may reduce reporting requirements for prescription drug manufacturers related to Maine, these manufacturers are still subject to other federal⁵ and state⁶ marketing and disclosure laws.

Actual Price Disclosures

LD 719 further repeals Maine's actual price disclosure statute, § 2698-B, effective September 28, 2011. This statute requires prescription drug manufacturers to disclose, on a quarterly basis, the average manufacturer price ("AMP") and best price ("BP") for all drugs dispensed in Maine that are subject to the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program. Prescription drug manufacturers will no longer be required to submit these price reports to Maine, although federal reporting requirements⁷ remain in effect.

³ The express preemption provision will become effective upon the issuance of final regulations by NIH that expand the clinical trials registry and results data bank. FDAAA § 801(d)(1). The original September 27, 2010 deadline for NIH to promulgate a final rule has since passed and, at this time, NIH has not issued proposed or final regulations.

⁴ By way of example, § 2700-A requires the reporting of post hoc analyses of clinical trial data; information pertaining to observational (non-interventional trials); and many bioequivalency and bioavailability studies.

⁵ Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, § 6002 (2010) ("PPACA"). For an overview of §6002 of PPACA, the Physician Sunshine Act requirements, see <http://www.ebglaw.com/showclientalert.aspx?Show=12676>.

⁶ Currently, seven states – California, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, Vermont and West Virginia – and the District of Columbia have state marketing and disclosure laws related to pharmaceutical and/or medical device companies. Several other states have similar legislation pending.

⁷ The Medicaid Drug Rebate Statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1396r-8, requires drug manufacturers to report AMP and BP to CMS.

Conclusion

Although Maine's repeal of the disclosure requirements described above eliminates potential conflicts with federal reporting requirements and reduces regulatory obligations, manufacturers should review practices for compliance with current federal and state law requirements, as applicable. Regulated entities also should update applicable compliance policies, procedures, work instructions, audit plans, and related processes to reflect the state law change. Manufacturers should determine the potential impact on systems and operations, and adjust accordingly.

* * *

This Client Alert was authored by [Sarah K. Giesting](#), [Amy Dow](#), and [Daniel G. Gottlieb](#). [Daniel C. Fundakowski](#), a Summer Associate (not admitted to the practice of law) in Epstein Becker Green's Washington, DC, office, contributed significantly to the preparation of this alert. For additional information about the issues discussed in this Client Alert, please contact one of the authors or the EpsteinBeckerGreen attorney who regularly handles your legal matters.

The Epstein Becker Green Client Alert is published by EBG's Health Care and Life Sciences practice to inform health care organizations of all types about significant new legal developments.

Lynn Shapiro Snyder, Esq.
EDITOR

If you would like to be added to our mailing list or need to update your contact information, please contact Rachel Marshall, at RMarshall@ebglaw.com or 202-861-4198.

ATLANTA

Robert N. Berg
J. Andrew Lemons
Evan Rosen
Alan B. Wynne

BOSTON

Barry A. Guryan

CHICAGO

Amy Dow
Lisa J. Matyas
Kevin J. Ryan

HOUSTON

Mark S. Armstrong
Daniel E. Gospin

LOS ANGELES

Dale E. Bonner
Ted A. Gehring
J. Susan Graham

NEW YORK

Jeffrey H. Becker
Aime Dempsey
Alice Dong
Scott M. Drago
Jerrold I. Ehrlich
Mitchell A. Fagen
James S. Frank
Arthur J. Fried
Philip M. Gassel
Jay E. Gerzog
Sarah K. Giesting
John F. Gleason
Robert D. Goldstein
Wendy C. Goldstein
Robert S. Groban, Jr.
Jennifer M. Horowitz
Kenneth J. Kelly
Joseph J. Kempf, Jr.
Jane L. Kuesel
Stephanie G. Lerman
Purvi Badiani Maniar
Eileen Millett
Leah Roffman
William A. Ruskin
Jackie Selby
Steven M. Swirsky
Natasha F. Thoren

NEWARK

Joan A. Disler
James P. Flynn
Daniel R. Levy
Philip D. Mitchell
Maxine Neuhauser
Kerry M. Parker
Michael J. Slocum

**OFF-SITE
COUNSEL**

Jon A. Sale

WASHINGTON, DC

Mujadala M. Abdul-Majid
Kirsten M. Backstrom
Emily E. Bajcsi
Clifford E. Barnes
James Boiani
George B. Breen
M. Jason Brooke
Lee Calligaro
Jesse M. Caplan
Jason B. Caron
Jason E. Christ
Anjali N.C. Downs
Steven B. Epstein

Ross K. Friedberg
Stuart M. Gerson
Shawn M. Gilman
Karen Schandler
Glassman
Jennifer K. Goodwin
Daniel G. Gottlieb
Marc Handler
Douglas A. Hastings
Robert J. Hudock
Leah R. Kendall
William G. Kopit
Jay P. Krupin
Amy F. Lerman
Katherine R. Lofft
Julia E. Loyd
Mark E. Lutes
Kara M. Maciel
Benjamin S. Martin
David E. Matyas
Frank C. Morris, Jr.
Clayton J. Nix
Leslie V. Norwalk
Kathleen A. Peterson
Robert D. Reif
Joel C. Rush
Deepa B. Selvam
Alaap B. Shah

Lynn Shapiro Snyder
Adam C. Solander
David B. Tatge
Daly D.E. Temchine
Bradley Merrill
Thompson
Carrie Valiant
Dale C. Van Demark
Patricia M. Wagner
Robert E. Wanerman
Dawn R. Welch
Constance A.
Wilkinson
Kathleen M. Williams
Lesley R. Yeung

This document has been provided for informational purposes only and is not intended and should not be construed to constitute legal advice. Please consult your attorneys in connection with any fact-specific situation under federal law and the applicable state or local laws that may impose additional obligations on you and your company.

© 2011 Epstein Becker & Green, P.C.

Attorney Advertising

ATLANTA • BOSTON • CHICAGO • HOUSTON • LOS ANGELES
NEW YORK • NEWARK • SAN FRANCISCO • STAMFORD • WASHINGTON, DC

Attorney Advertising

www.ebglaw.com

